

From: [POULSEN Mike](#)
To: [Elizabeth Allen/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)
Cc: [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Kristine Koch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [ANDERSON Jim M](#); [MCCLINCY Matt](#)
Subject: Portland Harbor BHHRA review comments
Date: 07/08/2011 03:46 PM
Attachments: [PH BHHRA DEQ comments.docx](#)

Elizabeth -

Attached are my comments on the Portland Harbor BHHRA report. I used the table format provided by Kristine. I read the LWG's responses to agency comments, but I did not go through and confirm that each comment was addressed. I also read Jim Lavelle's comments, but did not explicitly respond to questions. I started with the redline version of the report to focus first on the revised material, but then I read the clean version. The page numbers are for the clean version.

I cannot say that I checked each value in the text with the corresponding table value. I noted discrepancies when I noticed them. If I have a chance, I will try to check more values in the tables, but I felt it was time to get you my comments.

My comments are not as extensive as I thought they might be. My initial reaction was based on finding a few errors. But of course with a report this large, it is impossible to be free of errors. The BHHRA is the culmination of years of work, and will serve as the basis for a major remediation effort, so naturally we want to make this the best report we can. I realize some of my comments are written as directives to the LWG, although I understand that you may be the one making the revisions.

If you have any questions on my comments, let me know.

- Mike